	TESTIMONY OF A. R. WATTS	
	FOR	
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA		
	DOCKET NO. 2000-487-E	
OF:	RE: APPLICATION OF COLUMBIA ENERGY LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT A MAJOR UTILITY FACILITY AND FOR AUTHORIZATION TO SELL HEAT	
Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND	
	OCCUPATION?	
A.	A. R. Watts, 101 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am employed	
	by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Utilities Department, as Chief	
	of Electric.	
Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND	
	EXPERIENCE.	
A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the	
	University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1976. I was employed at that time by	
	this Commission as a Utilities Engineer in the Electric Department and was	
	promoted to Chief of the Electric Department in August 1981. I have been in my	
	current position since October 1999. I have attended professional seminars relating	
	to Electric Utility Rate Design and have testified before this Commission in	
	conjunction with fuel clause, complaint, territorial assignment and general rate	
	proceedings.	
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS	
	PROCEEDING?	
A.	The purpose of my testimony is to confirm compliance by Columbia Energy with the	
	filing requirements contained in the Siting Act as well as to address the request for	
	Q. A. Q.	

1

12

0	PLEASE CIVE A	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	OF THE PROJECT
U.	LUMOU GIVE A	DIVIET DESCRIPTION	OF THE PROJECT.

- 2 The proposed facilities include three generating units totaling approximately 500 A. 3 megawatts of capacity to be located on the Carolina Eastman manufacturing plant site in Calhoun County. The project will be interconnected to the transmission 4 system of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and receive natural gas service 5 through South Carolina Pipeline Corporation. Heat produced at the facility will be 6 7 sold to Carolina Eastman. Also per the Application, power generated will be sold at
- wholesale and at retail to the extent permitted by applicable law. The facility will be 8
- a "Qualifying Facility" (QF) under the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act 9
- 10 (PURPA). The facilities are expected to be in commercial operation by June 2003.
- TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, IS THE RETAIL SALE OF ELECTRIC ENERGY 11 O. CONTEMPLATED BY CAROLINA ENERGY CURRENTLY PERMITTED?
- No. There has been electric restructuring legislation introduced in South Carolina 13
- over the past several years but, to this date I am not aware of any bill that has been 14
- become law. 15
- WHAT IS REQUIRED OF THE APPLICANT, PER THE SITING ACT, 16 Q.
- PRIOR TO THE APPLICATION BEING FILED WITH THE PUBLIC 17
- SERVICE COMMISSION? 18
- The Applicant must serve a copy of the application on the chief executive officer of 19 A.
- each municipality and the head of each State and local government agency, charged 20
- with the duty of protecting the environment or of planning land use, in the area in the 21
- county in which any portion of the facility is to be located. A notice accompanying 22
- the application is required which specifies the date on or about which the application 23
- is to be filed. Public notice of Applicants intent to file with the Commission shall be 24
- 25 given in the affected areas via newspapers of general circulation.
- HAS COLUMBIA ENERGY COMPLIED WITH THESE CONDITIONS? 26 Q.
- Yes. The application included certification of service on the designated parties and 27 A.
- Columbia Energy subsequently provided proof of publication of the notice. 28
- PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION THAT MUST BE CONTAINED 29 Ο.
- IN AN APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE? 30

29

1	A.	The Siting Act directs that an application contain a description of the location and of
2		the major utility facility to be built; a summary of any studies which have been made
3		of the environmental impact of the facility; a statement explaining the need for the
4		facility; and such other information as the applicant may consider relevant or as the
5		Commission may require.
6	Q.	DOES THE APPLICATION CONTAIN THIS INFORMATION?
7	A.	Yes. A description of the facility and its location is presented as well as the need for
8		the facility and its environmental impact.
9	Q.	SUBSEQUENT TO FILING OF THE APPLICATION, WHAT OTHER
10		PROCEDURES ARE NECESSARY TO SATISFY THE CRITERIA OF THE
11		SITING ACT?
12	A.	The Commission must fix a date for the commencement of a public hearing, not less
13		than sixty nor more than ninety days after receipt of the application. Due to the
14		constricted time frame, a notice must be issued promptly by the Commission to the
15		applicant for publication in newspapers in general circulation in the affected areas.
16		All parties designated in the Siting Act are provided this same notice that indicates
17		the Application has been filed, a hearing will be held, and comments, views or
18		testimony and evidence are solicited from any interested party.
19	Q.	HAVE THESE REQUIREMENTS BEEN COMPLETED?
20	A.	Yes. The notice was sent to the Applicant, who subsequently provided the
21		Commission with proof of publication, and the notice was likewise sent to all the
22		parties as designated in the Siting Act. The notice included an intervention final date
23		of no later than November 17, 2000. The Commission also issued an order
24		establishing prefiling dates for testimony and evidence in this matter.
25	Q.	IS COLUMBIA ENERGY REQUIRED TO OBTAIN APPROVAL FROM
26		THE COMMISSION FOR THE SALE OF HEAT?
27	A.	Yes. By definition, the term "public utility" under section 58-5-10(3) includes
28		entities providing heat to the public for compensation. In addition, section 58-5-210

establishes the Commission's power to supervise and regulate the rates and services

- of every public utility in the State. The Company recognized this in its Application and stated that its sale of heat would constitute the services of a public utility.
- Q. HAS THE COMMISSION ISSUED OTHER CERTIFICATES FOR THE PROVISION OF HEAT?
- Yes, although on a very limited basis. I am aware of only two such Certificates previously granted by the Commission. The orders in those two cases approved the steam/heat agreements as well as granted Certificates of public utility status for the provision of steam/heat.
- 9 Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE STEAM RELATED PORTION OF THE
 10 ENERGY SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN COLUMBIA ENERGY
 11 AND CAROLINA EASTMAN?
- 12 A. Yes. I have reviewed a redacted copy of the Agreement with particular attention to the sections pertaining to the sale and purchase of steam.
- 14 Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS WERE YOU ABLE TO DRAW FROM YOUR
 15 REVIEW?
- A. Since there were no rates included in the material I reviewed, I cannot provide an opinion as the reasonableness of those charges. However, the other representations in the Application and the Applicant's witnesses testimony do appear to be an accurate reflection and consistent with the language in the Agreement. It contains quite a number of safeguards for both parties and numerous contingencies and remedies.
- Q. WERE THERE ANY COMMENTS FILED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES OR INTERESTED PERSONS AS A RESULT OF THE NOTICES?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. WERE THERE ANY INTERVENTIONS IN THIS DOCKET?
- 26 A. Yes. The Consumer Advocate for the State of South Carolina and South Carolina 27 Electric & Gas Company intervened in this proceeding.
- Q. TO THIS POINT IN THE PROCESS, HAVE THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SITING ACT FOR THIS FILING BEEN ACCOMPLISHED?
- 30 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.